

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,162	01/28/2004	Andi Vonlanthen	31856US3	3393
116 7.	590 03/24/2005		EXAMINER	
PEARNE & GORDON LLP 1801 EAST 9TH STREET SUITE 1200			LE, HUYEN D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLEVELAND	, OH 44114-3108		2643	

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/766,162	VONLANTHEN, ANDI			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		HUYEN D. LE	2643			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported in the plant of the plant is a second of the	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 F	ebruary 2005.				
2a)□	<u> </u>	s action is non-final.				
3)						
	closed in accordance with the practice under to	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-8 is/are withdrawn	from consideration.				
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 9 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
7)						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
,—	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	-	ed in this National Stage			
*	application from the International Burea	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	od			
•	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified cobies flot tecesor	cu.			
Attachmer	nt/e)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)			
2) Notice	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)					
. —	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>01/28/04</u> .) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal I 6) ☐ Other:	ratent Application (P10-152)			
	.,	· — —				

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-3 and 9 in the reply filed on 02/23/2005 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meyer (U.S. patent 4,890,330) in view of Kerns (U.S. patent 6,144,748).

Regarding claims 1 and 9, Meyer teaches a method and apparatus of a hearing aid housing (1, 2) that has a base part (figures 1, 2 and 7) and a module (10) for a wired signal transmission. The module (10) is mounted to the base part of the housing (2) via a pivot bearing (4, col. 2, lines 59-62).

Meyer does not specifically teach the module (10) for a wireless signal transmission. However, it is very well known in the art to provide a wired or wireless communications link between an audio connection to a remote device.

Kerns teaches an auxiliary device (240) that is connected to the hearing device (210). The auxiliary device (240) provides a wired or wireless communications link for receiving and delivering audio information to the hearing device (col. 1, lines 61-64 and col. 2, lines 57-61).

1

Since Kerns does not restrict to the type of audio connection to the hearing aid (col. 3, lines 38-41 and lines 55-58 through col. 4, lines 1-3, figures 2, 7); it therefore would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide any type of audio connection such as an auxiliary device, as taught by Kerns, to the hearing aid of Meyer for achieving a wireless communications link between the hearing aid device and a remote device.

Regarding claims 2 and 9, Meyer shows the module (10) that has a battery (18).

Regarding claim 3, Meyer shows that the module (10) attached to the base part of the hearing aid housing (2) establishes electric feed to the base part as claimed (figures 2, 7).

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Geraci et al. teaches a module (11) that is secured within a battery compartment of the hearing aid (12) for adjusting a circuitry of the hearing aid to a desired frequency response.

Batting (US 2004/0062409) teaches a communication element (5) and a battery drawer (4) to be pivotally mounted to a hearing aid housing.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUYEN D. LE whose telephone number is (703) 305-4844. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30AM-6:00PM.

Art Unit: 2643

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CURTIS KUNTZ can be reached on (703) 305-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HL

March 18, 2005

PRIMARY EXAMINER